

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/689,681			Chen-Fa Huang	MR2551-283/CIP	4895
7:				EXAM	INER
Phillip Liu			DURAND, PAUL R		
6980 Whiteoak Drive Richmond, BC V7E4Z9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	7 7 12 72			3721	
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/689,681	HUANG, CHEN-FA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Durand	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14							
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	de de un esta in					
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic rionty documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai						

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al (US 6,481,610) in view of McGuinness et al (US 6,273,315) and Smith et al (US 4,300,684).

Liu discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a cap feeding assembly comprised of tube 31, top and bottom openings 311 and 310, mounted on the plate like surface of delivery means 20, caps, in the from of washers 50, connected to each other prior to use by string 51, which is run through the center hole and a pushing mechanism comprised of spring 33, which pushes the washer into the feeding slot (see Figs. 1-3,8, C2,L25-63 and C3,L26-49). What Liu does not disclose is the use of a push rod for the pushing mechanism and a cap assembly that is frictionally fit together prior to use. However, McGuinness teaches that it is old and well known in the art of tool driving to provide a tool with a housing 24, which holds circular tags to be fastened 50, and are moved downward by a feed mechanism comprised of spring 80 and push rod 82 for the purpose of providing uniform feeding force to a fastening member during operation (see Fig. 4 and C3,L16-32). Furthermore, Smith teaches that it old and well known in the art of grouping to provide a grouped group of items 14, with a circular

Art Unit: 3721

center hole in an alternative embodiment, held together by a metal strip, with a ring shaped head portion 22 and legs 22a and 22b, which have an enlarged portion at the end for the purpose of holding a group of items together prior to use (see Figs. 1-6, 13 and C4,L10-65). Still furthermore, while Liu discloses a closure element for the tube comprised of cap 32 and projection 321, the examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to substitute the cap and projections of Liu with a screw cap that screws into the tube for the purpose of providing alternative means of securing a closure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Liu with the push rod feeding as taught by McGuinness and the retaining device of Smith for the purpose of efficiently feeding items into a tool.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are 3. not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combined reference and teaching of Liu, McGuinness and Smith does not teach of a cap with a circular hole in the center. The examiner disagrees with this argument. In an alternative embodiment of Smith, Smith shows a retained member with a circular center hole (see Fig. 13). Furthermore, the examiner asserts that applicants claimed invention concerns that of a cap feeding assembly and not the shape of a member that is used by the assembly. The examiner also asserts that the above cited art could perform applicants invention regardless of the shape of the center hole.

Art Unit: 3721

Therefore, for the reasons indicated above, the rejection is deemed proper.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 571-272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand November 18, 2004

> EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER